## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

REC'D 1 9 APR 2006

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATE VEABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

PCT

(PCT Artcle 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's P03E9012P	or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	FION .	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
	al application No. R2003/002922	International filing date (a 30 DECEMBER 20		Priority date (day/month/year) 09 DECEMBER 2003 (09.12.2003)	
	al Patent Classification (IPC	C) or national classification :	and IPC .		
••	RONICS AND TELE	COMMUNICATION	NS RESEARCH II	NSTITUTE et al	
		reliminary examination reportant ansmitted to the applicant		nternational Preliminary Examining	
2. This	REPORT consists of a total	l of 4 sheets	, including this cover sh	eet.	
3. This a.	(sent to the applicant and sheets of the de	ntaining rectifications author	au) a total of wings which have been	sheets, as follows:  amended and are the basis for this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
ъ. [	beyond the disc Supplemental B (sent to the Internation containing a sequence	losure in the international ap ox. aal Bureau only) a total of (i	oplication as filed, as in ndicate type and numbe thereto, in electronic fo	rm only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
4. This	report contains indications  Box No. I Basis of the	relating to the following ite	ms:		
一	Box No. III Non-estab	olishment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability	
片	Box No. IV Lack of u	nity of invention		<del></del>	
$\boxtimes$	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI Certain do	ocuments cited			
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII Certain of	oservations on the internatio	nal application		
Date of sub	mission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report	
1	9 APRIL 2005 (1	19.04.2005)	20 MARCH	2006 (20.03.2006)	
Name and	mailing address of the IPEA	VKR	Authorized officer		
<b>3</b>	Korean Intellectual Prope 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu Republic of Korea	rty Office	LEE, Seung Ha		
Facsimile 1	No. 82-42-472-7140		Telephone No. 82-4	2-481-5761	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/KR2003/002922

Box No.	I Basis of the report
	th regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless erwise indicated under this item.  This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language English  which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)  international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
to the	regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished a receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this reort as "originally filed" and are not xed to this report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished
	the description:  pagesas originally filed/furnished  pages*
	the claims:  pages
, _	the drawings:  pages
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  the description, pages the claims, Nos.  the drawings, sheets the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  the description, pages the claims, Nos.  the drawings, sheets  the sequence listing (specify):  any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
* If iten	a 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/KR2003/002922

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES.
		Claims	·	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: KR 2003-24292 (Application No.)

D2: KR 2003-42090 A

D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN 2003281022 A

D4: "MPEG-4 systems: architecting object-based audio-visual content"

D5: "MPEG-7: a content description standard beyond compression"

D6: "The MPEG-7 standard and the content based management of three dimensional data: a case study"

D7: "Design and implementation of streaming system for MPEG-4 based interactive contents over IP networks"

This invention relates to a three-dimensional video processing apparatus and method, and, makes a feature of using MPEG-4 object descriptor which defines a three-dimensional video object descriptor. D1-D7 pertain to general MPEG-4 system or MPEG-7 descriptor structure. However, none of the documents reveals the extension of MPEG-4 technology into the method and apparatus for processing a three-dimensional video. Therefore, the invention, as in the claims 1-13, is considered to involve an inventive step.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2003/002922

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on	the international	application
--------------	-------------------------	-------------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The claim 9 is dependent on the claim 7, but "the information indicating whether the video inputted through the predetermined video acquisition device is binocular/multi-viewpoint three-dimensional video" is not mentioned in the claim 7. It seems that the claim 9 could be dependent on the claim 8.